

Profile

Subunit: **Fakultät WISO**
 Name of the instructor: **Dr. Davud Rostam-Afschar**
 Name of the course: **Public Economics 1 (Übung)**
 (Name of the survey)

Comparative line:
 Compilation: **Alle Übungen des SS15 und WS15/16 - englisch**

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Contents

1.1) The learning goals of the class/tutorial were clear to me.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1211	av.=2,1 av.=1,8	md=2,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,7 dev.=0,8
1.2) The class/tutorial helped me to achieve the learning objectives.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1214	av.=1,9 av.=1,8	md=2,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,9 dev.=0,9
1.3) Overlaps with other modules helped me to achieve the learning objectives (if there was no overlap, please do not answer).	complete agreement		not at all	n=13 n=860	av.=1,5 av.=2,1	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,7 dev.=1,0
1.4) The lecturer clearly pointed out interrelations between different topics.	complete agreement		not at all	n=15 n=1197	av.=1,7 av.=2,0	md=2,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,8 dev.=0,9
1.5) The class/tutorial content was meaningfully related to other subjects in the degree program.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
1.6) The lecturer effectively related lecture topics to other subjects in the degree program.	complete agreement		not at all	n=16 n=1178	av.=2,1 av.=2,2	md=2,5 md=2,0	dev.=1,1 dev.=0,9
1.7) The lecturer provided satisfactory explanations of and comprehensive information about the topics covered in the course.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1204	av.=1,6 av.=1,8	md=2,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,6 dev.=0,9
1.8) The lecturer used examples that enhanced students' understanding of the topics discussed.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1195	av.=1,6 av.=1,8	md=2,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,7 dev.=0,9
1.9) The classes/tutorials were well-delivered and easy to follow.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
1.10) The course was well structured.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1216	av.=2,0 av.=1,8	md=2,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,9 dev.=1,0
1.11) It was worthwhile to attend this course.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1196	av.=1,5 av.=1,7	md=1,0 md=1,0	dev.=0,6 dev.=0,9


2. Atmosphere

2.1) The study and working atmosphere in this course was pleasant.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1211	av.=1,4 av.=1,6	md=1,0 md=1,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=0,8
2.2) I felt free to ask questions and make comments.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1217	av.=1,4 av.=1,5	md=1,0 md=1,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=0,7
2.3) The lecturer inspired my motivation to learn.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1210	av.=1,7 av.=2,0	md=2,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,7 dev.=1,0
2.4) The lecturer was motivated.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1210	av.=1,5 av.=1,6	md=2,0 md=1,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=0,8

3. Class/Tutorial

3.1) There were sufficient opportunities to discuss assignments.	complete agreement		not at all	n=16 n=1177	av.=1,6 av.=1,9	md=2,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,6 dev.=0,9
3.2) The lecturer was available for questions and feedback in and outside of class.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=0,6
3.3) The lecturer did a good job of explaining the theories discussed in the recitation section.	complete agreement		not at all	n=17 n=1192	av.=1,6 av.=1,8	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,9 dev.=0,9

3.4) The recitation section helped in gaining a better understanding of the material presented in the corresponding lectures.



n=17 av.=1,9 md=2,0 dev.=0,9
n=1181 av.=1,8 md=2,0 dev.=0,8

3.5) The constituent elements of the course (e.g. lecture, recitation section, tutorial) were well coordinated.



n=17 av.=2,1 md=2,0 dev.=1,2
n=1181 av.=1,9 md=2,0 dev.=0,9

4. Workload

4.1) Compared with other modules, I would describe the workload for this module as



n=17 av.=3,5 md=3,0 dev.=0,7
n=1199 av.=3,6 md=3,0 dev.=0,8

7. Overall assessment

7.1) Overall, I would rate the class/tutorial as



n=15 av.=1,7 md=2,0 dev.=0,5
n=1094 av.=1,9 md=2,0 dev.=0,8

8. General information

8.1) I attended the course



n=16 av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,5
n=1014 av.=1,3 md=1,0 dev.=0,6