

Profile

Subunit: Fakultät WISO
 Name of the instructor: Dr. Davud Rostam-Afschar
 Name of the course: Public Economics 2 (Übung)
 (Name of the survey)

Comparative line:
 Compilation: Alle Übungen des WS15/16 & SS16 - englisch

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Contents

1.1) The learning goals of the class/tutorial were clear to me.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1267	av.=2,0 av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
1.2) The class/tutorial helped me to achieve the learning objectives.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1270	av.=2,0 av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
1.3) Overlaps with other modules helped me to achieve the learning objectives (if there was no overlap, please do not answer).	complete agreement		not at all	n=7 n=902	av.=1,9 av.=2,2	md=2,0	dev.=0,7
1.4) The lecturer clearly pointed out interrelations between different topics.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1246	av.=2,1 av.=2,1	md=2,0	dev.=1,1
1.5) The class/tutorial content was meaningfully related to other subjects in the degree program.	complete agreement		not at all	n=7 n=1219	av.=2,0 av.=2,1	md=2,0	dev.=0,6
1.6) The lecturer effectively related lecture topics to other subjects in the degree program.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1232	av.=1,8 av.=2,3	md=2,0	dev.=0,7
1.7) The lecturer provided satisfactory explanations of and comprehensive information about the topics covered in the course.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1251	av.=1,5 av.=2,0	md=1,0	dev.=1,1
1.8) The lecturer used examples that enhanced students' understanding of the topics discussed.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1247	av.=1,5 av.=2,0	md=1,0	dev.=1,1
1.9) The classes/tutorials were well-delivered and easy to follow.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1260	av.=1,9 av.=2,1	md=1,0	dev.=1,2
1.10) The course was well structured.	complete agreement		not at all	n=6 n=1260	av.=1,5 av.=2,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,8
1.11) It was worthwhile to attend this course.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1250	av.=1,8 av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,9


2. Atmosphere

2.1) The study and working atmosphere in this course was pleasant.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1262	av.=1,4 av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
2.2) I felt free to ask questions and make comments.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1264	av.=1,1 av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
2.3) The lecturer inspired my motivation to learn.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1259	av.=1,5 av.=2,1	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
2.4) The lecturer was motivated.	complete agreement		not at all	n=6 n=1247	av.=1,3 av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=0,8

3. Class/Tutorial

3.1) There were sufficient opportunities to discuss assignments.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1231	av.=1,4 av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=0,7
3.2) The lecturer was available for questions and feedback in and outside of class.	complete agreement		not at all	n=7 n=1223	av.=1,3 av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
3.3) The lecturer did a good job of explaining the theories discussed in the recitation section.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=1247	av.=1,8 av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=1,0

3.4) The recitation section helped in gaining a better understanding of the material presented in the corresponding lectures.



n=7 av.=1,7 md=1,0 dev.=1,0
n=1220 av.=1,9 md=2,0 dev.=0,9

3.5) The constituent elements of the course (e.g. lecture, recitation section, tutorial) were well coordinated.



n=8 av.=1,5 md=1,0 dev.=0,8
n=1231 av.=2,0 md=2,0 dev.=1,0

4. Workload

4.1) Compared with other modules, I would describe the workload for this module as



n=8 av.=3,6 md=3,5 dev.=0,7
n=1254 av.=3,6 md=3,0 dev.=0,9

7. Overall assessment

7.1) Overall, I would rate the class/tutorial as



n=8 av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,5
n=1149 av.=2,0 md=2,0 dev.=0,9

8. General information

8.1) I attended the course



n=7 av.=1,7 md=1,0 dev.=1,0
n=1055 av.=1,3 md=1,0 dev.=0,6