

Profile

Subunit: **Fakultät WISO**
 Name of the instructor: **Dr. Davud Rostam-Afschar**
 Name of the course: **Topics in Public Economics (13189W)**
 (Name of the survey)
 Comparative line:
 Compilation: **Alle Vorlesungen im WS16/17 & SS17 - englisch**

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Contents

1.1) The learning goals of the lecture were clear to me.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2196	av.=1,6 av.=1,8	md=2,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=0,9
1.2) The lecture helped me to achieve the learning objectives.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2194	av.=1,5 av.=2,0	md=1,5 md=2,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=0,9
1.3) Overlaps with other modules helped me to achieve the learning objectives (if there was no overlap, please do not answer).	complete agreement		not at all	n=7 n=1559	av.=2,3 av.=2,2	md=3,0 md=2,0	dev.=1,0 dev.=1,0
1.4) The lecturer clearly pointed out interrelations between different topics.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2180	av.=1,4 av.=2,0	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=0,9
1.5) The lecture content was meaningfully related to other subjects in the degree program.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2137	av.=1,4 av.=2,1	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=0,9
1.6) The lecturer effectively related lecture topics to other subjects in the degree program.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2154	av.=1,4 av.=2,2	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=0,9
1.7) The lecturer provided satisfactory explanations of and comprehensive information about the topics covered in the course.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2191	av.=1,5 av.=1,9	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,8 dev.=0,9
1.8) The lecturer used examples that enhanced students' understanding of the topics discussed.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2180	av.=1,3 av.=1,7	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=0,9
1.9) The lectures were well-delivered and easy to follow.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2194	av.=1,4 av.=2,1	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=1,0
1.10) The course was well structured.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2178	av.=1,5 av.=1,9	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,8 dev.=0,9
1.11) It was worthwhile to attend this course.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2161	av.=1,1 av.=1,9	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,4 dev.=1,0

2. Atmosphere

2.1) The study and working atmosphere in this course was pleasant.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2199	av.=1,0 av.=1,7	md=1,0 md=1,0	dev.=0,0 dev.=0,9
2.2) I felt free to ask questions and make comments.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2187	av.=1,0 av.=1,6	md=1,0 md=1,0	dev.=0,0 dev.=0,9
2.3) The lecturer inspired my motivation to learn.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2185	av.=1,3 av.=2,1	md=1,0 md=2,0	dev.=0,5 dev.=1,0
2.4) The lecturer was motivated.	complete agreement		not at all	n=8 n=2177	av.=1,1 av.=1,6	md=1,0 md=1,0	dev.=0,4 dev.=0,8

3. Workload

3.1) Compared with other modules, I would describe the workload for this module as	much lower		much higher	n=8 n=2186	av.=3,9 av.=3,6	md=4,0 md=4,0	dev.=0,8 dev.=0,8
--	------------	--	-------------	---------------	--------------------	------------------	----------------------

6. Overall assessment

6.1) Overall, I would rate the lecture as



n=8 av.=1,1 md=1,0 dev.=0,4
 n=1950 av.=2,0 md=2,0 dev.=0,9

7. General information

7.1) I attended the course



n=7 av.=1,3 md=1,0 dev.=0,5
 n=2051 av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,7